


<b>Search Notes</b>  	<b>Application/Control No.</b>  10767269	<b>Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination</b>  KAPPELER, KURT-ROBERT
	<b>Examiner</b>  Aughenbaugh , Walter B	<b>Art Unit</b>  1772

<b>SEARCHED</b>			
<b>Class</b>	<b>Subclass</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Examiner</b>
prior updated		4/25/7	WA

<b>SEARCH NOTES</b>		
<b>Search Notes</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Examiner</b>
email to Terrel Morris (Jennifer McNeil suggested I discuss how to handle printed matter limitations with Terrel Morris), Terrel Morris responded to my email same day	4/25/7	WA
5,045,071 (cited in email [above]) does not explicitly teach that the markings are "adapted to be read making use of X rays" as claimed in claim 1 of this application (so could not make 102 rejection using this patent). The patent teaches that the line 36 can be read using X-rays, but is silent as to whether or not the markings can be read using X-rays. Line 36 is not a marking (and there is only one line 36)	4/26/7	WA

<b>INTERFERENCE SEARCH</b>			
<b>Class</b>	<b>Subclass</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Examiner</b>